

Research Update:

U.K.-Based Social Housing Provider Notting Hill Genesis Affirmed At 'A-'; Outlook Negative

September 26, 2025

Overview

- We believe the strategic decision by Notting Hill Genesis (NHG) to divest its entire private rental portfolio over the next two years will support the group's debt-reduction ambitions, while the group faced initial delays in the disposals of its stock outside of London.
- In our view, NHG's cost-control efforts will help offset some of the pressures on its financial performance stemming from the sale of the group's high-margin market-rent business, alongside elevated levels of investments in existing stock.
- If this plan is executed successfully, we estimate that NHG's S&P Global Ratings nonsales adjusted EBITDA-to-interest coverage will remain close to 1x through fiscal 2028 (ending March 31, 2028).
- We therefore affirmed our long-term issuer credit rating on NHG at 'A-'. The outlook is negative, reflecting the risk associated with the execution of the asset disposal and cost-control plans, while investment pressures remain.

Rating Action

On Sept. 26, 2025, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'A-' long-term issuer credit rating on U.K. social housing provider Notting Hill Genesis (NHG). The outlook is negative.

We also affirmed our 'A-' long-term issuer credit rating on NHG's subsidiary, Notting Hill Home Ownership Ltd. (NHHO). The outlook is also negative.

We affirmed our 'A-' issue ratings on all seven bonds and the £2 billion senior unsecured medium-term note program issued by NHG. We also affirmed the 'A-' issue rating on the £250 million bond, due 2039, issued by the treasury vehicle GenFinance II PLC, considered a core subsidiary of the group.

Primary Contact

Mahek Bhojani
London
44-2071760846
mahek.bhojani
@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact

Noa Fux
London
44-20-7176-0730
noa.fux
@spglobal.com

Analytical Group Contact

Sovereign and IPF EMEA
SOVIPF
@spglobal.com

Outlook

The negative outlook reflects the execution risk in relation to NHG's asset disposal plans and cost controls, which could result in the group's financial metrics failing to recover as projected in our current base case.

Downside scenario

We could lower the rating in the next 12-24 months if NHG is unable deliver on its asset disposal program and control costs; or if NHG materially increases investments in new homes. This could result in the group's interest coverage remaining substantially below 1x on a sustained basis. In our view, this would also indicate a more aggressive strategy than what we currently assume.

Upside scenario

We could revise the outlook to stable if NHG manages to successfully implement its current strategy.

Rationale

The affirmation reflects our view that higher proceeds from fixed asset disposals would support a significant reduction in NHG's debt levels, particularly in the final year of our forecast horizon and thereafter, supporting our view that interest coverage would recover on a sustained basis. While most of the disposal proceeds would go toward debt repayment, we assume that a part would be used to fund the modest increase in the group's net capital expenditure.

In our view the group's interest coverage would remain close to 1x over our forecast horizon, though this recovery is slower than we previously anticipated (see "[U.K.-Based Social Housing Provider Notting Hill Genesis Outlook Revised To Negative; 'A-' Rating Affirmed](#)," Sept. 13, 2024).

This is mainly owing to the projected strain on the group's nonsales adjusted EBITDA following the sale of its high-margin private rent business.

We believe, however, that this plan is subject to execution risks.

Enterprise profile: Underpinned by NHG's large asset base, with operations mainly across London and contained exposure to sales

NHG benefits from generating most of its earnings in the predictable and countercyclical social housing sector, supported by a solid market position and generally cautious approach to sales risk.

In our view, NHG's large asset base increases the group's resilience to withstand external shocks relative to smaller peers. NHG owns and manages more than 67,000 homes concentrated mainly across London. In our view, demand for the group's core services remains solid, supported by the significant gap between NHG's average rent for general needs and the market rent, which we estimate to be just below 40%, lower than that of peers. The strong demand for NHG's properties is also evident in the group's average vacancy rate of 1.4% over the past three years, which is slightly below the sector average in England.

The management continues to prioritize investments in existing stock and remains committed to its strategy to reduce debt. As part of this strategy, the group decided to sell all its market-rent portfolio over the next two years, amid some initial delays in its stock rationalization program.

U.K.-Based Social Housing Provider Notting Hill Genesis Affirmed At 'A-'; Outlook Negative

In relation to the group's investments in existing assets plans, we note the steady progress to achieve EPC C energy ratings for its housing stock, with about 80% currently compliant at this level. We view this as a more favorable position than the sector average. Furthermore, we understand that fire and remediation costs, which remain a key management focus, continue to be elevated. However, we acknowledge that the overall envelope of spending remains largely unchanged compared with our last review.

Financial profile: Underpinned by the projected reduction in debt and robust liquidity

We anticipate that the higher proceeds from fixed-asset disposals would support a significant reduction in debt, particularly in the final year of our forecast horizon and thereafter, supporting our view that interest coverage would recover on a sustained basis following a projected dip in fiscal 2028. Over our forecast horizon, we project the group's interest coverage will average about 0.9x.

We project the sale of NHG's high-margin business will, however, weigh on the group's financial performance in the latter years of our forecast horizon. We assume rent increases will exceed costs, which we expect to partly contain the pressure on adjusted EBITDA. But the lower nonsales EBITDA in the latter years of our forecast horizon could hamper the recovery in the group's interest coverage.

We understand that the group entered into an agreement with the Greater London Authority (GLA) to use a portion of its recycled capital grant funding for retrofit works in exchange for developing new homes. We estimate the higher grants utilized for investments in existing stock and some delays in spend to result in more favorable margins for fiscal 2025 than previously projected. We continue to factor into our analysis the costs related to the large fire safety provision that NHG booked in fiscal 2024, which will continue to weigh on the group's financial performance.

We forecast NHG's liquidity position will remain very strong, supported by our view that NHG will continue to have strong access to debt capital markets. We estimate the group's liquidity sources will cover uses by approximately 2x in the next 12 months. This is based on our forecast of liquidity sources exceeding £1.4 billion, comprising cash, undrawn and available revolving credit facilities, large asset sales, grant receipts, and cash from operations (adding back the noncash cost of sales). These sources will cover liquidity uses of just under £730 million, primarily for capital expenditure and debt service payments. We view the increase in the group's net capital expenditure as modest and a result of the group's agreement with GLA to develop more homes along with reprofiling of certain schemes that might have been brought forward in the planning timeline.

Government-related entity analysis

In our view, there is a moderately high likelihood that NHG would receive timely and extraordinary government-related support in case of financial distress. This leads us to apply a two-notch uplift to the stand-alone credit profile to derive the issuer credit rating. Since one of the key goals of the Regulator for Social Housing (RSH) is to maintain lender confidence and low funding costs across the sector, we think it is likely that the RSH would try to prevent a default in the sector. We base this view on previous records of the RSH mediating mergers or arranging liquidity support from other registered providers in cases of financial distress, and we think this would also apply to NHG.

Key Statistics

Notting Hill Genesis--Key statistics

Mil. £	--Year ended March 31--				
	2024a	2025e	2026bc	2027bc	2028bc
Number of units owned or managed	67,636	68,032	66,720	63,235	62,360
Adjusted operating revenue	699.6	707.8	760.7	745.2	692.9
Adjusted EBITDA	110.0	127.0	140.8	125.1	105.1
Non-sales adjusted EBITDA	98.1	122.4	134.8	121.4	101.8
Capital expense	296.3	361.5	284.5	185.3	157.1
Debt	3,585.0	3,634.8	3,550.1	3,250.1	2,591.1
Interest expense	155.6	164.0	158.0	141.7	122.2
Adjusted EBITDA/Adjusted operating revenue (%)	15.7	17.9	18.5	16.8	15.2
Debt/Non-sales adjusted EBITDA (x)	36.5	29.7	26.3	26.8	25.5
Non-sales adjusted EBITDA/interest coverage(x)	0.6	0.7	0.9	0.9	0.8

a--Actual. e--Estimate. bc--Base case reflects S&P Global Ratings' expectations of the most likely scenario.

Rating Component Scores

Notting Hill Genesis -- Ratings score snapshot

	Assessment
Enterprise risk profile	3
Industry risk	2
Regulatory framework	3
Market dependencies	2
Management and Governance	3
Financial risk profile	4
Financial performance	5
Debt profile	6
Liquidity	2
Stand-alone credit profile	bbb
Issuer credit rating	A-

S&P Global Ratings bases its ratings on non-profit social housing providers on the seven main rating factors listed in the table above. S&P Global Ratings' "Methodology For Rating Public And Nonprofit Social Housing Providers," published on June 1, 2021, summarizes how the seven factors are combined to derive each social housing provider's stand-alone credit profile and issuer credit rating.

Related Criteria

- [General Criteria: Environmental, Social, And Governance Principles In Credit Ratings](#), Oct. 10, 2021
- [Criteria | Governments | General: Methodology For Rating Public And Nonprofit Social Housing Providers](#), June 1, 2021
- [General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology](#), July 1, 2019

U.K.-Based Social Housing Provider Notting Hill Genesis Affirmed At 'A-'; Outlook Negative

- [General Criteria: Rating Government-Related Entities: Methodology And Assumptions](#), March 25, 2015
- [General Criteria: Methodology: Industry Risk](#), Nov. 19, 2013
- [General Criteria: Principles Of Credit Ratings](#), Feb. 16, 2011

Related Research

- [U.K. Social Housing Providers: Extra Development Grants Won't Improve Financial Headroom](#), June 26, 2025
- [Non-U.S. Social Housing Providers Ratings Risk Indicators: Stabilization At Lower Levels](#), May 12, 2025
- [Non-U.S. Social Housing Providers Ratings History: April 2025](#), May 12, 2025
- [U.K. Social Housing Borrowing 2025: Focused On Containing Debt](#), April 24, 2025
- [Regulatory Framework Assessment: Strong For Social Housing Providers In The U.K.](#), April 17, 2025
- [United Kingdom](#), April 14, 2025
- [European Housing Markets: Better Housing Affordability Supports Recovery](#), Jan. 27, 2025
- [Non-U.S. Social Housing Sector Outlook 2025: Quality Maintenance Constrains Recovery](#), Jan. 14, 2025
- [The Autumn Budget Kicks Off A Funding Regime Revision For U.K. Public Sector Entities](#), Nov. 5, 2024
- [U.K. Social Housing Providers' Financial Capacity Shrinks On Investment Needs](#), Nov. 4, 2024
- [Cyber Risk Brief: U.K. Public Sector Is Increasingly Under Threat](#), Oct. 24, 2024

Ratings List

Ratings List

Ratings Affirmed

Notting Hill Genesis

Notting Hill Home Ownership Ltd.

Issuer Credit Rating	A-/Negative/--
----------------------	----------------

Notting Hill Genesis

GenFinance II PLC

Senior Secured	A-
----------------	----

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at <https://disclosure.spglobal.com/ratings/en/regulatory/ratings-criteria> for further information. A description of each of S&P Global Ratings' rating categories is contained in "S&P Global Ratings Definitions" at <https://disclosure.spglobal.com/ratings/en/regulatory/article/-/view/sourceId/504352>. Complete ratings information is available to RatingsDirect subscribers at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings referenced herein can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.spglobal.com/ratings.

U.K.-Based Social Housing Provider Notting Hill Genesis Affirmed At 'A-'; Outlook Negative

Copyright © 2025 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Some of the Content may have been created with the assistance of an artificial intelligence (AI) tool. Published Content created or processed using AI is composed, reviewed, edited, and approved by S&P personnel.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.spglobal.com/ratings (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.